

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 5385		
10/711,386 09/15/2004		09/15/2004	Christopher J. Mills	04-0567			
64722	7590	10/11/2006		EXAMINER			
		NG FLAHERTY &	MAYLE, EDWARD J				
250 PARK A SUITE 825	AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
NEW YORK, NY 10177-0899				3644			
				DATE MAILED: 10/11/200	DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary			ication No.		Applicant(s)					
			10/711,386 MILLS E		MILLS ET AL.	ET AL.				
			niner		Art Unit					
		Edwa	ard J. Mayle		3644					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears o	n the cover sheet	with the co	rrespondence ad	idress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nations of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE Of CFR 1.136(a). In cation. bry period will apply by statute, cause to	F THIS COMMUN no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) M he application to become	NICATION. a reply be time ONTHS from the ABANDONED	ely filed ne mailing date of this o (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status										
1) 🂢	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n <i>amendmen</i>	ets of 09/20/06.							
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.									
3)				atters, pros	secution as to the	e merits is				
٠,										
Disposit	on of Claims	·			•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the appl	lication								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,3 and 18-40</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
	Claim(s) 41 and 42 is/are allowed.									
	Claim(s) <u>41 and 42</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,5-8,12,14-17</u> is/are rejected.									
_										
	⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,9-11 and 13</u> is/are objected to. □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
	on Papers									
	•	•								
	The specification is objected to by the E									
10)[]	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection		•		, ,					
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			-						
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by	tne Examine	r. Note the attach	ied Office A	Action or form P	I O-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:			- , ,	(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
				• •		01				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International			en received	i in this National	Stage				
* 5	application from the International See the attached detailed Office action fo	•	` ''	ot received						
			ocitined copies in	ot received	•					
Attachmen	t(s)									
وستشانخ	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	w Summary (F	PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948)	Paper Notice of	o(s)/Mail Date						
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other: _		ent Application					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 5-6, 8, 12, and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Greiss (U.S. Patent 4,055,317).
- 3. With regard to Claim 1: Greiss discloses a cabin crew area of an aircraft comprising: an aft area (Column 4, lines 3-6: "aft galley 10 comprises an aft storage area 34, a starboard storage area 36, a port storage area 38 and a forward storage area 40.") comprising a plurality of galley carts (42, Fig. 2), at least one galley storage unit residing in said aft area and storing said plurality of galley carts (34,36,38,40 Figs. 2 and 4), said galley storage unit having a fore/aft depth that is approximately equal to the depth of two or more of said plurality of galley carts (units 36 and 38 are aligned with the fore/aft axis of the aircraft such that 3 galley carts can be stored along this axis) and a main counter residing over said at least one galley storage unit and extending laterally across the cabin crew area of the aircraft, and coupled to and between a starboard wall and a port wall of the aircraft (Column 4, lines 41-55; Fig. 2: counters 57,58,59 form a continuous U-shaped counter that is coupled between the port and starboard walls of the aircraft).

- 4. With regard to Claim 5: Greiss discloses a cabin crew area as in claim 1 further comprising at Least one sectioning member (Fig. 4: there is a bulkhead forward of storage unit 40 separating the galley area from the main passenger cabin) separating said galley-servicing area (37, Fig. 2) from a passenger cabin (32, Fig. 2).
- 5. With regard to Claim 6: Greiss discloses a cabin crew area as in claim 1 further comprising at Least one Line replaceable structure coupled to said at Least one galley storage unit. ("refrigeration units" 60, Fig. 2)
- 6. With regard to Claim 8: Greiss discloses an aircraft having a cabin crew area (Column 4, lines 3-6: "aft galley 10 comprises an aft storage area 34, a starboard storage area 36, a port storage area 38 and a forward storage area 40.") comprising: a galley-servicing area comprising; at Least one galley storage unit (34,36,38,40 Figs. 2 and 4) storing a plurality of galley carts (42, Fig. 2) and having a fore/aft depth that is approximately equal to the depth of two or more of said plurality of galley carts (units 36 and 38 are aligned with the fore/aft axis of the aircraft such that 3 galley carts can be stored along this axis); and a main counter residing over said galley storage unit, extending Laterally across the cabin crew area of the aircraft, and coupled to and between a starboard wall and a port wall of the aircraft (Column 4, lines 41-55; Fig. 2: counters 57,58,59 form a continuous U-shaped counter that is coupled between the port and starboard walls of the aircraft).
- 7. With regard to Claim 12: Greiss discloses an aircraft as in claim 8 further comprising at Least one sectioning member separating a passenger compartment from the galley-servicing area (Fig. 4: there is a bulkhead forward of storage unit 40

Application/Control Number: 10/711,386 Page 4

Art Unit: 3644

separating the galley area from the main passenger cabin) separating said galleyservicing area (37, Fig. 2) from a passenger cabin (32, Fig. 2).

- 8. With regard to Claim 14: Greiss discloses an aircraft as in claim 12 further comprising a stairway (44, Figs. 2 & 4), said at Least one section member coupled between said stairway and at Least one of said starboard wall and said port wall (Fig. 2: the bulkhead extends to the wall opposite the stairway).
- 9. With regard to Claim 15: Greiss discloses an aircraft as in claim 8 further comprising a Line replaceable structure coupled to said at Least one galley storage unit. ("refrigeration units" 60, Fig. 2)
- 10. With regard to Claim 16: Greiss discloses an aircraft as in claim 15 wherein said Line replaceable structure comprises a Line replaceable unit that is selected from at Least one of a refrigeration unit, a chiller, an air conditioner, a flight control box, a storage box, an electronic box, and a black box. ("refrigeration units" 60, Fig. 2)
- 11. With regard to Claim 17: Greiss discloses an aircraft as in claim 15 wherein said Line replaceable structure resides in one of an overhead area, an overhead compartment area, a galley service area, an area ("refrigeration units" 60, Fig. 2 reside in the galley service area in overhead compartments above the galley carts 42)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 13. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greiss in view of Kull et al. "Kull" (U.S. Patent 5,491,979).
- 14. Greiss discloses the claimed invention except for a device that adjusts temperature of the galley carts.

Kull teaches a refrigeration unit (4, Fig. 2) for a plurality of galley carts (7A-7D, Fig. 2).

It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the galley of Greiss by incorporating a unit to adjust the temperature of the galley carts, because as Kull teaches, "food stuffs transported in trolleys are cooled on board an aircraft directly in the trolley" (abstract first sentence).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 15. Claims 4, 9-11, and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 16. With regard to Claims 4, 9-11: The prior art does not teach aircraft galley counters that are coupled to or extending in front of aircraft doorways.
- 17. With regard to Claim 13: The invention of Greiss does not have curtains, and one of ordinary skill would not have motivation to modify the invention with curtains.

 Although there are several prior art examples of curtain usage to separate areas in aircraft, none of these examples meet the limitations of Claim 8 from which 13 depends.

18. Claims 41 and 42 are allowed. The prior art does not teach aircraft galley counters that are coupled to or extending in front of aircraft doorways.

Response to Arguments

19. Applicant's arguments filed 09/20/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the galley storage unit having a fore/aft depth of two or more galley carts, storage units 36 and 38 have fore/aft depths sufficient to store three galley carts (Greiss Fig. 4).

Regarding the countertop extending all the way across the aircraft and coupled to the port and starboard walls, counters 57,58,59 form a continuous U-shaped counter that extends across the aircraft between the walls (Greiss Fig. 2).

For the above reasons, independent Claims 1 and 8 are not allowable, and the species requirement is not withdrawn. Applicant has not argued with the rejection of the claims depending from Claims 1 and 8.

20. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Mayle whose telephone number is (571)-272-8969. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 0830-1700.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on (571)-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Edward J. Mayle

TERI PHAM LUU SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER